Finite modeling of growth and yield of okra using different tillage systems

Simon Ogbeche Odey^{*}

(Department of Wood Products Engineering, Cross River University of Technology, Calabar, Nigeria. 23401)

Abstract: Field experiment conducted was aimed to model the okra growth and yield using different tillage systems. Randomised complete block design (RCBD) was used with three treatments and three replicates. Treatments were conventionalploughing and harrowing (A), conservative (B) and zero tillage (C). Each treatment had three replicates of area 8 m × 8 m, separated from each other by 2 m for manoeuvring of the tractor. Manual planting of okra at distance, 1 m × 0.8 m was used. Manual weeding was done 3 weeks after planting and every 2 weeks interval till harvesting. Soil samples were collected before and after planting operation to carry out physio-chemical properties in the laboratory. Data on growth parameters and yield of okra were collected and analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics 21. Among the analysis were, correlation, regression and ANOVA. Results obtained showed negative correlation between growth parameters, okra yield and soil bulk density. While soil porosity had positive correlation with growth parameters and okra yield. okra yield was higher in conventional and conservative tillage than in zero tillage. Finite modelled equation with coefficient of linearity (R^2 =0.934) on the yield of okra using different tillage systems was generated during regression analysis, revealing predicted output closely equal to the observed yield. Yield of okra can be predicted during cultivation provided soil physical properties and growth parameters of okra are known. It is recommended that conventional and conservative tillage systems should be practiced for improvement in the production of okra fruits in sandy loam soil.

Keywords: conventional, conservative, zero tillage, modeling, yield, growth parameter, soil properties

Citation: Simon Ogbeche Odey. 2022. Finite modeling of growth and yield of okra using different tillage systems Simon Ogbeche Odey. Agricultural Engineering International: CIGR Journal, 24 (1):188-196.

1 Introduction

okra production activities in Nigeria have increased in recent years because of its nutritional values such as protein, carbohydrate, minerals salts, sugar, vitamins aromatics colouring agent, iron and essential oil for increasing man's resistance to disease. okra among other vegetables contain vitamins A and B, rich in minerals and very high in iodine content (Dupriez, 1989; Owolarafe et al., 2007; Dilruba et al., 2009; Ngbede et al., 2014; and Bello et al., 2020). okra fruits can be boiled, fried or cooked (Akintoye et al., 2011). Due to its medicinal quality, okra has been known to be beneficial to people suffering from leucorrhoea, goitre, ulcers, relief from haemorrhoids and general weakness (Demir, 2001). okra contains most of the substances needed by human for survival and existence (Faroof et al., 2010). okra is widely grown all over the world because of its apt adaptation to survive on any kind of soil at any period of the season (FAO, 2009). Vegetable growing is a type of intensive farming that produces great quantity of yield on a small area of land. FAO (2020) recorded that okra production was impressive with FAOsupported farmers recording an average of over 7.4 tonnes per hectare, a 68-percent increase compared with unsupported farmers in Northeastern Nigeria. However, insufficient buyers of freshly harvested fruits, lack of

Received date: 2020-09-28 Accepted date: 2021-07-27

^{*}Corresponding author: Simon Ogbeche Odey, Ph.D., Department of Wood Products Engineering, Cross River University of Technology. E-mail: simonodey@crutech.edu.ng; Tel: +2347034575615.

storage and processing facilities constraints could be alleviated by providing efficient machines to process fresh fruits produced into more durable finished products (Bello et al., 2020).

Tillage has been an integral component of crop production systems since the beginning of agriculture (Mitchell et al., 2009). Tillage systems have effect on crops growth and yield (Odey and Manuwa, 2016). It is one of the fundamental agro-technical operations in agriculture as recorded by Reicosky and Allmaras (2003), Aikin and Afuakwa (2010), Arman (1997), Ozpinar and Clay (2006), Rashidi and Keshaavarzpour (2009), and Afolayan et al. (2010). It a known fact that passes of tractor wheels during tillage of agricultural soil results in stiffness of the soil capable of affecting both the soil properties and subsequent effects on crop growth and yields (Odey et al., 2014). Odey (2018a) revealed that Effects of soil compaction have eaten deep and are adversely affecting the production of food and fibre throughout the world.

Many authors have examined influence of different tillage systems on the growth and yield of crops. Adekiya et al. (2019) reiterated that tillage appears to be indispensable for sustainable okra production on Alfisol of southwest Nigeria. According to Ozpinar and Isik (2004), different tillage systems which affect soil properties include conventional, conservative, reduced, zero tillage or direct seeding, mulch-tillage, ridge-tillage, stale seedbed, minimum tillage and strip-tillage (Allmaras and Dowdy, 1985; Ruberson and Phatak, 1997; Mitchell et al., 2009). The soil must be in a condition that the roots can have air and as well penetrate through with adequate moisture and nutrient (Mamkagh, 2009). Conventional tillage is when the soil is ploughed and harrowed for more than once before sowing of crops. Conversation tillage refers to any tillage system that maintains at least 30% of the soil surface covered by plant residues after tillage and planting primarily where the objective is to reduce water erosion. While in zero tillage, soil is left undisturbed (Rasmussen, 1999; MWPS, 2000; Owen, 2001; ASAE, 2005; Leonard et al., 2000; Mitchell et al., 2009; and Hedavatipoor and Alamooti, 2020). Currently, there is significant interest and emphasis on a shift to the conservation and no-tillage methods for the purpose of reducing soil compaction, controlling erosion. conserving soil and water, mitigating drought, reducing tillage costs, increasing soil organic matter, boosting crop productivity, and reducing net CO₂ emissions which contribute to global warming (Agbede, 2013; Odey et al., 2014, 2018). The physical and chemical properties of the soil affect growth and yield of okra, these include soil strength, bulk density, porosity, soil structure, texture, soil colloid, soil pH, total Nitrogen available phosphorus, total carbon, cation exchange capacity (CEC) and so on (Magdoff and Van Es, 2000).

Predicting crop yields has broad implications for economics, ecology, and human welfare. The large number of factors that determine crop productivity make modeling crop production at large spatial scales substantially challenging. Forecasting crop production is an even greater challenge, as it requires making inferences on future performance based on past conditions. Manjula and Djodiltachoumy (2017) reiterated that data mining is the process of analyzing data from different perspectives and summarizing it into useful information. Crop yield prediction is an important agricultural problem. Farmers always try to focus on yield as soon as cropping activities commence. In the past, yield prediction was calculated by analyzing farmer's previous experience on a particular crop. Sangeeta (2020) proposed system aimed at predicting or forecasting the crop yield by learning the past data of the farming land; by considering soil conditions, rainfall, temperature, yield and other entities. He concluded that proposed model has got more efficiency than the existing model for finding crop yield. Odey (2018b) developed a regression model for predicting the growth of Maize on soil influenced by tractor traffic. The model showed that in any particular cropping season, the yield of maize, Ym can be estimated, provided the number of machinery traffic on the land was known. Nkakini and Davies (2020) developed a mathematical model equation for tolerance of okra plant yield to soil densification. It was revealed that there was an approximately closed agreement between the experimented and modelled

values of okra yield in tolerance to soil compaction at varying tractor passes in different subplots. The model established that okra growth rate and yield increased significantly with respect to degenerate traffic passes. Hence, this study is aimed at establishing finite modeling of growth and yield of okra using different tillage systems.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Experimental site and location

The experiment was carried out during the 2017/2018 cropping seasons at the department of Agronomy Research Farm, Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, Cross River University of Technology, Obubra Campus, Nigeria. The area is located on longitude 08°20'00"E and latitude 6°05'00"N. Obubra Local Government Area, Cross River State has about 500-1070 mm annual rainfall. The soil type is sandy loam and a temperature range of 21°C–30°C (CRADP, 1992). The site has a slightly sloppy topography and rain forest zone.

2.2 Land preparation

The land area was dominated with the following weeds; elephant grass (Penesitum purpurium), chromolina oduvata and centrosea the method adopted for the removal of these weeds after marking out the plot size needed, was firstly through spraying of a systemic herbicide with the help of a portable knapsack sprayer. After a period of two weeks, the vegetation was cleared.

2.3 Experimental design and layout

The design used for the experiment was randomised complete block design (RCBD). The experiment considered a land area of 1,024 m². Three different tillage systems including Conventional (A), Conservative (B) and Zero (C) as treatments and each treatment had three replicates of size 8 m \times 8 m, separated from each other by 2m for manoeuvring of the tractor.

2.4 Treatments adopted

2.4.1 Conventional tillage

Conventional tillage (ploughing and harrowing) was done using A Massey Fergusson – MF 435 2WD/4WD tractor of 50 kW and 2,122 kg total weight to plough and harrow the plots before seeding.

2.4.2 Conservative tillage

In conservative tillage 1,120 kg of plant residues were used per hectare (1120 kg ha⁻¹) to make up 30% of the soil surface use for crop planting according to ASAE (2005). Hence 7.168 kg of plant residues were used for each 8 m × 8 m plot sizes accordingly. Thus 7.168 kg of crop residue was weighed and incorporated into already ploughed bed and finally harrowed for seed sowing according to Reddy et al. (1992).

2.4.3 Zero tillage

Zero or no tillage operation involved clearing the vegetation and raking before sowing the seed without disturbing the soil to a great extent (Ruberson and Phatak, 1997). The methods used for each treatment were repeated in their various replicates.

2.5 Planting material and germination

The okra seeds (Agwu Early) were sown at 0.02-0.05 m depth with a rate of 2–3 seeds per hole, then, they were later thinned to two stands. Sowing seeds were soaked for 24 hours before sowing (Omran et al., 1980). And the planting distance used in this experiment was $1m \times 0.8$ m (inter and intra row). Growth and yield data germination was optimal and was calculated using the formula according to Agba et al. (2011);

Germination(%) = $\frac{\text{number of plant germinated}}{\text{Total number of seeds planted}} \times 100$

(1)

2.6 Cultural practices

2.6.1 Supply of missing stands and thinning

Supply of seedlings raised in a nursery bed beside the experimental farm was done at the planting points where germination did not occur after 1-2 weeks. This was done in the morning and the seedlings were transplanted with ball of soil attached to the roots according to Khan et al. (1990). Thinning was done manually after germination to maintain the desired plant population and optimum performance of the okra plant. 2.6.2 Weeding

Weeding of the experimental farm was done manually with cutlass 3 weeks after planting, then after every 2 weeks interval till commencement of harvest.

2.6.3 Fertilizer application

Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium (NPK), 12 : 12 :17 was applied after 3 weeks of planting through ring method; using 3.2 kg for each experimental plot.

2.7 Data collection

2.7.1 Collection of soil samples

The initial soil samples were collected before the different tillage operations at random from the area of land $(1,024 \text{ m}^2)$ at the depth of 0-15 cm, 16-30 cm and 31-45 cm using an undisturbed core. Soil samples were collected during the vegetative and reproductive stages at the same depths, from each treatment, the samples collected were dried, ground and sieve with 2 mm sieve for soil analysis.

2.7.2 Measurement of growth parameters and yield of okra

Data on plant height, width, number of leaves and flowers were collected 2 weeks after planting (WAP) and in every 2 weeks interval. This was done using measuring tape and veneer calliper. The okra pods (matured green pods) were harvested every 5 days interval, by cutting the pods with knife and hands.

2.7.3 Soil bulk density and porosity

The samples were oven dried at a temperature of 100°C for 24 hours and then bulk density and porosity were determined using the method described by Black and Hartge (1986).

2.8 Analysis

2.8.1 Laboratory analysis

Soil samples collected from the experiment were subjected to laboratory analysis at Soil Science Laboratory, University of Agriculture, Makurdi. Particle size; was determined by the hydrometer method as described by Gee and Bauder (1986). Soil pH was determined in water 1:2 soil: water ratio using pH meter with glass electrode. Exchangeable cations, calcium and magnesium were determined in the extract by EDTA titration and potassium and sodium by the use of flame photometer (Udo et al., 2009). Organic matter was determined by the dichromate wet-oxidation method as described by Nelson and Sommers (1996). Available phosphorus was determined by the Bray-1 method as described by Kuo (1996). Total nitrogen was determined by the micro-Kjeldahl digestion and distillation method as described by Bremmer (1996). Cation exchange capacity was determined by method described by Sumner and Miller (1996).

2.8.2 Statistical analysis

Data for growth and yield of okra in this experiment were analysed using IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) Statistics Version 21. Correlation, regression and ANOVA analysis were carried out.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Soil properties of the experimental site

The result of pre-treatment of soil physio-chemical properties of the experimental site in Table 1 indicated that the soil is sandy loam with high sand particles in all the depths - 0 to 15 cm, 16 to 30 cm and 31 to 45 cm, the soil was mildly acidic in the various depths. Soil in the depth of 0 to 15 cm contains a higher amount of organic matter than that of 16 to 30 cm and that of 31 to 45 cm, this confirmed that soil organic matter decreases with depth. The soil total N, available P, cations exchange capacity (CEC), Mg and organic matter where low and this is an indication of the inherent low fertility status of tropical soils as reported by Ojeniyi (2010).

Table 1 Pre-Treatment so	il properties of the expe	rimental site at depths of (0 to 15 cm, 16 to 3	0 cm and 31 to 45 cm
--------------------------	---------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------

Depths		Particle s	size distril	oution	p	Н	Org C%	Org M%	N%	Bray 1 P ppm	Ca cent m	Mg Mol	K kg	Na soil	CEC
	%	%	%	Textural	H_2O	KCl									
	sand	silt	clay	class	1:1	1:1									
0-15cm	76.0	12.0	12.0	Sandy	5.93	5.11	0.86	1.49	0.092	3.68	3.71	1.58	0.33	0.64	6.50
				loam											
16-30cm	75.9	12.9	11.2	Sandy	5.91	5.02	0.77	1.33	0.094	3.81	3.69	1.62	0.34	0.70	6.46
				loam											
31-45cm	70.2	13.7	16.3	Sandy	5.50	4.80	0.66	1.14	0.088	3.9	3.77	1.66	0.30	0.69	6.43
				loam											
SD	± 3.3	± 0.9	± 2.7		± 0.24	± 0.16	±0.10	± 0.18	± 0.0	±0.11	±0.04	±0.04	± 0.02	±0.03	± 0.04

Source: Field Data 2017/2018 cropping seasons

3.2 Soil properties as influence by treatments, growth parameters and yield of okra

Tables 2 and 3 showed soil physical properties as influenced by treatments, growth parameters and yield of okra respectively. In Table 2, the result of post-tillage soil physio-chemical properties as influenced by the different tillage systems indicated that soil in all the treatments have the same textural class which is sandy loam soil with sand having higher percentages in the various treatments. Soil pH in all the treatments and their various depths, remains mildly acidic, organic matter was discovered to be higher in the depth 0-15 in both conventional and conservative tillage than the other depths with an exception in zero tillage. Whereas the different tillage did not increase soil N, available P, Cation exchange capacity (CEC), Mg, Ca and Na in the depth 0 to 15, 16 to 30 cm and 31 to 45 cm. This finding agreed with that of (Brady and Weil., 2002), which reported that soil comprises of minerals, organic matter, water and air. And also agreed with that of (Carter, 2002), which stated that soil texture is the proportion of sand silt and clay.

The results from Table 3 revealed that conventional and conservative tillage influenced or lead to higher fruit production of okra than zero tillage. The results agreed with that of Lal (1991) who stated that different tillage systems can influence okra production in terms of fruits yield.

Treatments	Depths	F	article siz	ele size distribution		рН		pH		рН		рН		рН		рН		рН		рН		Org C%	Org M%	N%	Bray P1 PPM	Ca vaut	Mg/ mmol	K (%)	Na (%)	CEC
		%	%	%	Textural	H ₂ O	KCl				11.01	cent m																		
		sand	silt	clay	class	1:1	1:1																							
А	0-15cm	71.1	13.1	15.8	Sandy loam	6.17	5.35	0.77	1.33	0.079	3.62	3.58	1.63	0.29	0.68	6.11														
	16-	75.4	11.2	13.4	Sandy	6.25	5.15	0.74	1.30	0.078	3.46	3.49	1.61	0.29	0.65	6.18														
	30cm				loam																									
	31-	76.2	11.5	12.3	Sandy	6.00	5.20	0.71	1.24	0.077	3.11	3.44	1.58	0.29	0.66	6.00														
	45cm				loam																									
SD		±3.2	±0.85	±2.7		±0.1	±0.1	±0.03	±0.05	±0.0	±0.26	±0.07	±0.03	±0.0	±0.02	±0.09														
P	0-15cm	72.2	13.4	15.4	Sandy	5.95	5.00	0.80	1.38	0.077	2.86	3.63	1.57	0.30	0.67	6.20														
в	16	762	12.4	11.2	loam	5.96	5.00	0.77	1.22	0.077	2.01	2.59	1.62	0.27	0.64	6.00														
	30cm	/0.5	12.4	11.5	loam	5.80	5.00	0.77	1.55	0.077	5.01	3.38	1.02	0.27	0.64	0.08														
	31-	69.2	14.2	16.6	Sandy	5.00	4.50	0.56	0.97	0.075	3.05	3.80	1.70	0.31	0.70	6.75														
	45cm				loam																									
SD		±3.6	±0.9	±2.8		±0.5	±0.3	±0.1	±0.2	±0.0	±0.1	±0.1	±0.1	±0.0	±0.03	±0.4														
С	0-15cm	75.4	11.6	13.0	Sandy loam	5.92	5.10	0.72	1.24	0.081	3.77	3.41	1.57	0.26	0.64	5.96														
	16-	77.0	12.1	10.9	Sandy	6.11	5.90	0.80	1.38	0.079	3.83	3.51	1.60	0.26	0.66	6.21														
	30cm				loam																									
	31-	75.0	11.3	13.7	Sandy	6.25	5.33	0.88	1.52	0.075	3.82	3.50	1.62	0.27	0.67	6.22														
	45cm				loam																									
SD		±1.06	±0.4	±1.5		±0.2	±0.4	±0.1	±0.1	±0.0	±0.03	±0.1	±0.03	± 0.01	±0.02	±0.2														

Table 2 Soil properties as influence by treatments

Source: Field Data 2017/2018 cropping seasons

Table 3 Mean values of bulk density, porosity, growth parameters and yield of okra

Treatments/ Replicates	Soil Bulk Density (%)	Soil Porosity	Height of okra (cm)	width of okra(cm)	No of leaves/ stand	No. of flowers/ stand	No. of fruits/ stand	Total yield (kg plot- 64 m ⁻²)	Total yield (kg ha ⁻¹)
A1	0.49	0.82	77	19.78	43.2	17.6	13.6	45.6	7,125
A2	0.52	0.80	75.8	17.76	44	6.4	8	40.8	6,375
A3	0.54	0.80	80.6	23.84	48	4	9.6	48.8	7,625
B1	0.46	0.83	88.6	19.12	56.8	16	16.8	62.4	9,750
B2	0.54	0.79	56.6	13.02	45.6	14.4	7.2	29.6	4,625
B3	0.46	0.83	81.4	25.08	68.8	19.2	19.2	47.2	7,375
C1	0.54	0.80	58	14.24	33.6	9.6	15.2	31.2	4,875

March, 2022	Fini	Vol. 24, No. 1	193						
		-	-	-	-				
C2	0.59	0.78	75	16.54	44	8.8	8.0	36.8	5,750
C3	0.52	0.80	68.4	14.08	32.8	8.0	5.6	40.8	6,375
SD	±0.04	±0.02	±10.68	±4.26	±11.09	±5.37	±4.83	±10.00	±1562.2

Source: Field Data 2017/2018 cropping seasons

3.3 Correlation of soil properties, growth parameters and vield of okra

The result in Table 4 showed the correlation coefficients for soil properties, growth parameters and yield of okra. It showed that the correlation between height and width of okra tends to one, and almost similar to that of leaves and width which proved that there is a strong positive relationship between height, width and leaves of okra. This result is similar to that of Ariyo et al. (1987) which revealed that there is a strong relationship between the growth parameters of crops. Whereas, the correlation of soil bulk density and porosity to growth Table 4 Correlation coefficients for soil properties, growth parameters and yield of okra

parameters and yield of okra revealed that, there was a strong positive correlation between growth parameters and yield of okra and soil porosity. When soil porosity was 1.000, height, width, number of leaves, flowers and yield of okra stood at 0.5249, 0.4904, 0.6115, 0.6825 and 0.6909 respectively. While there was a strong negative correlation between soil bulk density, growth parameters and yield of okra as shown in the Table 4. At soil bulk density of 1.000, soil porosity was -1.000, while height, number of leaves, flowers, and yield of okra were -0.5249, -0.4904, -0.6115, -0.6825 and -0.6909 respectively.

	Bulk Density	Porosity	Height	Width	Leaves	Flowers	Total Yield					
Bulk Density	1.000											
Porosity	-1.000	1.000										
Height	-0.5249	0.5249	1.000									
Width	-0.4904	0.4904	0.7707	1.000								
Leaves	-0.6115	0.6115	0.6399	0.7520	1.000							
Flower	-0.6825	0.6825	0.1731	0.2086	0.5799	1.000						
Total Yield	-0.6909	0.6909	0.9162	0.6459	0.5731	0.2596	1.000					
3.4 Regression of soil properties, growth parameters $NL =$ numbers of leaves of okra												

3.4 Regression of soil properties, growth parameters with yield of okra

Regression analysis was done on soil properties such as bulk density and porosity, growth parameters and yield of okra, with yield of okra as the dependent variable. Tables 5 and 6 showed the summary of the results. Regression equation (model) for yield of okra per hectare was generated from the analysis as recorded in the Tables. Thus, a finite model for the yield of okra during different tillage systems was deduced from Table 5.

 $Y_{o} = -84.64 + 12.23Bd + 93.96P + 0.21H - 0.24NL$ + 0.20T(2)Where. Y_o =yield of okra Bd=soil bulk density *P*=soil porosity

H=okra plant height

Т = experimental treatment

The above modelled Equation 2 had a coefficient of linearity (R^2) of 0.934 as shown in Table 6. Differences between the means of predicted and experimented okra yield at 0.05 level of significance were used to interpret and determine the significance by applying statistical tools. The result of the t-test showed that, there is no significant difference at (p>0.05) between experimented and predicted yield of okra estimated from different tillage systems. This showed that the predicted output model is closely equal to the observed (actual) yield of okra. Hence the output of okra can be predicted in advance for any given agricultural field given other input parameters. These results agreed with the findings of Mulumba and Lal (2008), that say tillage is management inputs that affect soil physical characteristics, which in turn affect the growth and yield of crops.

D	Unstandard	ized Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients			95.0% Confidence Interval for Beta		
Parameters	Beta	Std. Error	Beta	Т	Sig.	Lower Bound	Upper Bound	
(Constant)	-84.64	124.391		-0.680	0.545	-480.511	311.222	
Bulk density	12.229	47.091	0.206	0.260	0.812	-137.635	162.092	
Porosity	93.963	124.816	0.623	0.753	0.506	-303.257	491.184	
Height	0.212	0.050	0.906	4.264	0.024	0.054	0.370	
No leaves	-0.244	0.215	-0.271	-1.137	0.338	-0.928	0.440	
Treatment	0.201	0.483	0.070	0.416	0.705	-1.337	1.739	

	Table 6 Model summary										
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson						
1	0.966 ^a	0.934	0.823	1.05255	1.165						

Note: a. Predictors: (Constant), Trment, No. leaves, Bulk density, Height, Porosity

b. Dependent Variable: Yield of okra

4 Conclusions

Field experiment conducted was aimed to model the okra growth and yield using different tillage systems. Data were collected and analysed. Results obtained showed a negative correlation between growth parameters, okra yield and soil bulk density. While soil porosity had a positive correlation with growth parameters and okra yield. okra yield was higher in conventional and conservative tillage than in zero tillage. Finite modelled equation with coefficient of linearity, (R^2) of 0.934 on the yield of okra using different tillage systems was generated from the regression analysis, revealing predicted output closely equal to the observed yield of okra. The yield of okra can be predicted during cultivation provided soil physical properties and growth parameters of okra are known. It is recommended that conventional and conservative tillage systems should be practiced for improvement in the production of okra fruits in sandy loam soil.

References

- Adekiya, A. O., T. M. Agbede, C. M. Aboyeji, K. A. Adegbite, O. Dunsin, T. A. Adekanye, and C. O. Aremu. 2019. Soil properties, okra performance and nutrient compositions as affected by tillage and maize cob ash. *Journal of Crop Science and Biotechnology*, 22(2): 113-122.
- Afolayan, S. O., M. O. Oladapo, F. O. Olaosebikan, and E. F. Muibi. 2010. Effects of tillage systems and four fertilizer rates on growth parameters and fruit yield of okra (*Abelmoscus esculentus*) and pepper (*Capsicum annum*). World Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 6(1): 23-28.

- Agba, O. A., B. N. Mbah, J. E. Asiegbu, and I. B. Adinya. 2011. Effects of spacing on the growth and yield of okra (Abelmochus Esculentus) in Obubra, Cross River State. *Global Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 10(1): 57-61.
- Agbede, T. M. 2013. Effect of tillage and oil palm bunch ash plus poultry manure on soil chemical properties, growth and ginger yield. World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology. International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering, 7(7): 481-488.
- Aikin, S. H. M., and J. J. Afuakwa. 2010. Effect of four different tillage practices on cowpea performance. World Journal of Agricultural Science, 6(6): 644-651.
- Akintoye, H. A., A. G. Adebayo, and O. O. Aina. 2011. Growth and yield response of okra incorporated with live mulches. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 5(2): 146-153.
- Allmaras, R. R., and R. H. Dowdy. 1985. Conservation tillage system and their adoption in the United State. *Soil Tillage Research*, 5(2): 197-222.
- Ariyo, O.J., Aken'ova, M.E. & Fatokun, C.A. 1987. Plant character correlations and path analysis of pod yield in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). *Euphytica* 36, 677–686. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00041518.
- Arman, K. 1997. Effect of different tillage system on soil properties and wheat yield in middle Anatolia. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 40(3-4): 201-207.
- ASAE Standards. 2005. EP291-3. Terminologies and definitions for soil tillage and soil tools relationship. St. Joseph, Mich.: ASAE.
- Bello, R. S., E. P. Onyeanula, and E. U. Nebo. 2020. Modification and performance evaluation of a dual-function okra processing device. *CIGR Journal*, 22(1): 76-84.
- Black, G. R., and K. H. Hartge. 1986. Bulk density. In *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part I. Physical and Mineralogical Methods*.
 2nd ed. ed. A. Klute, Agronomy No. 9 (part I), 363-375. Madison, Wisconsin: SSSA and ASA.
- Brady, N., and R. Weil. 2002. *The Nature and Properties of Soil.* 13th ed. Upper saddle River New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

- Bremmer, J. M. 1996. Total nitrogen. In Methods of Soil Analysis Pact 3-Chemical Methods SSSA Book Series 5, ed. D. L Spark, 1085-1122. Madison, Wisconsin: Soil Science Society of America.
- Carter, M. R. 2002. Soil quality for sustainable land management: organic matter and aggregation interactions that maintains soil functions. *Agronomy Journal*, 94(1): 38-47.
- CRADP. Cross River Agricultural Development Project 1992. Report on Wetlands of Cross River State, Nigeria. 115pp.
- Demir, I. 2001. Variation in shoot and root characteristics and their association with drought tolerance in lentil landraces. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 89: 1-7.
- Dilruba, S., M. Hassanuzzaman, R. Karim, and K. Nahar. 2009. Yield response of okra to different sowing times and application of growth hormones. *Journal of Horticultural Science and Ornamental Plants*, 1(1): 10-14.
- Dupriez, H. and P. de Leaner 1989. African Gardens and Orchards. Growing vegetables and Fruits. Macmillan in association with Terres et Vie and CTA (London).
- FAO. 2009. FAOSTAT Statistical Database. Available at: https://faostat.fao.org. Accessed Accessed 21 November 2019.
- FAO. 2020. Better Yields For FAO-Supported Farmers Yield Outcomes from the 2019 Rainy Season Intervention-Northeastern Nigeria, Yield Assessment. Available at: https://reliefweb.int/report/nigeria/northeastern-nigeriabetter-yields-fao-supported-farmers. Accessed 17 March 2020.
- Faroof, A., R. Umar, A. Muhammad, and N. Mohammed. 2010. okra (hibiscus esculentus) seed oil biodiesel production applied energy. *Applied Energy*, 87(3): 779-785.
- Gee, G. W., and J. W. Bauder. 1986. Particle size analysis. In Method of Soil Analysis, Part 1-Physical and Mineralogical Methods SSSA Book Seria 5, ed. A. Klute, 383-412. Madison, Wiscosin: SSSA and ASA.
- Hedayatipoor, A., and M. Y. Alamooti. 2020. Effect of conservative tillage on physical properties of soil and yield of rainfed wheat. *CIGR Journal*, 22(1): 48-53.
- Khan, V.A., Stevens, C. & Brown, J.E. 1990 Early response of transplanted okra grown under Vispore® row cover and two types of polyethylene mulch. *Proc. Natl. Agr. Plastics Congr. pp22 28 32.*
- Kuo, S. 1996. Phosphorus. In *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 3*, SSSA Book Series No. 5, ed. D. L. Sparks, 869-919. Madison: SSSA and ASA.
- Lal, R. 1991. *Encyclopaedia of Soil Science*. Bocaroton Fl: Taylor and Francis.
- Leonard, B. R., K. Torey, and R. L. Hutchinson. 2000. Influence of conservation tillage on cotton insect pest ecology: a case study with cotton aphid (aphis gossypii Glover.). In Proc. 23rd Annual Southern Conservation Tillage Conference for

Sustainable Agriculture, 43-44. Monroe Louisiana, June 19-21, 2000.

- Magdoff, O., and H. Van Es. 2000. *Building Soils for Better Crops.* **2nd ed**. Washington D. C.: Jarboe Printing.
- Mamkagh, A. M. A. 2009. Effect of tillage time and plastic mulch on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) grown under rainfed conditions. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 11(4): 453-457.
- Manjula, E., and S. Djodiltachoumy. 2017. A model for prediction of crop yield. *International Journal of Computational Intelligence and Informatics*, 6(4): 298-305.
- Mitchell, J. P., G. S. Pettygrove, S. Upadhyaya, A. Shrestha, R. Fry, R. Roy, P. Hogan, R. Vargas, and K. Hembree. 2009. Classification of Conservation Tillage Practices in California Irrigated Row Crop Systems. Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of California. Available at: <u>http://anrcatalog.ucdavis.edu</u>. Accessed February 16, 2020.
- Mulumba, L. A., and R. Lal. 2008. Mulching effect on selected soil physical properties. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 98(1):106-111.
- MWPS (MidWest Plan Service). 2000. *Conservation Tillage Systems and Management*. **2nd ed**. Ames: Iowa State University, MidWest Plan Service.
- Nelson, D. M., and L. E. Sommers. 1996. Total carbon, organic carbon and organic matter. In *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 3, SSSA Book Series No. 5*, ed.: D. L. Sparks, 961-1010. Madison: SSSA and ASA.
- Ngbede, S. O., H. N. Ibekwe, S. C. Okpara, and L. Adejumo. 2014. An overview of okra production, processing, marketing, utilization and constraints in Ayaragu in Ivo local government area of Ebonyi State, Nigeria. *Greener Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 4(4): 136-143.
- Nkakini, S. O., and R. M. Davies. 2020. Mathematical model equation for tolerance of okra plant yield to soil densification. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Applied Science*, V(I): 80-92.
- Odey, S. O., and S. I. Manuwa. 2016. Design steps of narrow tillage tools for draught reduction and increased soil disruption-a review. *CIGR Journal*, 18(1): 91-102.
- Odey, S. O., S. I. Manuwa, and T. Ewetumo. 2018. Development and performance evaluation of instrumented subsoilers in breaking soil hard-pan. *CIGR Journal*, 20(3): 85-96.
- Odey, S. O., S. I. Manuwa and O. C. Ademosun. 2014. Growth and yield response of soybean (ver tgx-1448-2e) to tractor traffic induced soil compaction in sandy clay soil of Akure, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Soil Tillage Research*, 1(1): 62-74.
- Odey, S. O. 2018a. Overview of engineering problems of soil compaction and their effects on growth and yields of crops. *European Journal of Advances in Engineering and*

Technology, 5(9): 701-709.

- Odey, S. O. 2018b. Tractor traffic influence on soil properties, growth and yield of maize in Obubra, Nigeria. *International Journal of Engineering Inventions*, 7(1): 01-10.
- Ojeniyi, S. 2010. *Tropical Soil Management and Agriculture in Nigeria*. Ibadan, Nigeria: Bash-moses Publishers
- Omran A. F., A. I. Barkry, and A. R Gawish. 1980. Effect of soaking seeds in some growth regulator solutions on the growth, chemical constituent and yield of okra. Seed Science and Technology, 8(1): 161-168.
- Owen, H. 2001. *Tillage Form Plow to Chisel and No Tillage* 1930-1999. Ames Lowa State: Ames Lowa State University Midwest Plant Services.
- Owolarafe, O. K., O. A. Muritala, and B. S. Ogunsina. 2007. Development of an okra slicing device. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 44(4): 426-429.
- Ozpinar, S., and A. Clay. 2006. Effect of tillage systems on the quality and crop productivity of a clayloam soil in Semi arid North Western turkey. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 88(1-2): 95-106.
- Ozpinar, S., and A. Isik. 2004. Effect of tillage ridging and row spacing on seedling emergence and yield of cotton. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 75(1): 19-26.
- Rashidi, M., and F. Keshavarzpour. 2009. Effect of tillage methods on grain yield and yield components of maize (zee mays L.). *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*,

9(2): 274-277.

- Rasmussen, K. J. 1999. Impact of ploughless soil tillage on yield and quality: a Scandinavian review. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 53(1): 3-14.
- Reddy, K. R., V. Reddy, and H. F. Hodges. 1992. Effect of temperature on early season cotton growth and development. *Agronomy Journal*, 84(2): 229-237.
- Reicosky, D. C., and R. R. Allmaras. 2003. Advances in tillage research in North American cropping systems. In *Cropping Systems: Trends and Advances*, ed. A. Shrestha, 75-125. New York: Haworth Press.
- Ruberson, J. R., And S. C. Phatak. 1997. Insect population in a cover crops/strip tillage system. In Proc. Belt Wide Cotton Conf, 2:1121-1124. National Cotton Council, Memphis TN, January 6-10, 1997.
- Sangeeta , G. S. 2020. Design and implementation of crop yield prediction model in agriculture. *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research*, 8(1): 544-549.
- Sumner, M. E., and W. P. Miller. 1996. Cation exchange capacity and exchange coefficients. In *Methods of Soil Analysis: Part 3, SSSA Book Series No. 5*, ed. D. L. Sparks, 1201-1230. Madison: SSSA and ASA.
- Udo, E. J., T. O. Ibia, J. A. Ogunwale, A. O. Ano, and I. E. Esu. 2009. *Manual of Soil, Plant and Water Analysis*. Lagos: Sibon Books Limited.