Methods and applications of new technologies used for reducing of chemical usage and controlling of pests (a review)
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Abstract: Initial low cost of pesticides and lack of knowledge on the part of agricultural producers has led to an overuse of pesticides, with dangerous consequences. On the other hand, today, pesticides have an important role in the increment of agriculture products. Therefore, in recent years, the major aims of agricultural, environmental and even the food industry researchers have been to reduce the usage of chemical materials in agricultural applications. To achieve this, variable rate application in sprayers using different technologies such as machine vision, ultrasonic sensors, spectrometry, laser scanning, as well as pest identification and classification, have been applied in recent studies. This paper reviews these works reported in the 21 century. In addition, the best result is suggested in the conclusion section for future studies.
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1 Introduction

Pest management in field and greenhouse conditions has been one of the main concerns for the agricultural producers. The need to reduce losses and crop damages, which can severely affect marketable yields, forces farmers to use different methods such as pest scouting, regular spraying program and integrated pest management (IPM) to control and protect fields against pest damages. In the present century, the use of pesticides has increased due to its initial low cost, easy accessibility, quick influence and lack of environmental consciousness on the part of growers, resulting in dangerous consequences.

Although the use of chemicals helps pest management, it has also had many side effects on human health, animals and the environment (Belforte et al., 2011). Therefore, in recent years many studies have been undertaken to use new technologies for accurate detection and effective management of pests in order to decrease the use of chemicals in farms and greenhouses. Canopy detection (Giles et al., 1988; Meron et al., 2000; McKerrow and Neil, 2001; Carreiras et al., 2006; Gil et al., 2007; Lee and Ehsani, 2008) and insect identification (Gotsch and Brauschweig, 1999; Ridgway et al., 2002; Koumpouros et al., 2004; Neethirajan et al., 2007; Li et al., 2009) have received special attention.

Traditional agricultural spray application in the fruit orchards involves the uniform spraying of the whole field. This method leads to inordinate usage of chemicals with low efficiency. Here application rate is calculated as the volume of spray liquid applied over the total land area treated (Salyani, 2003). However, this approach does not account for missing trees and variability in tree canopy size which could result in a significant material loss (Larbi and Salyani, 2012). Recently, researchers presented the variable rate application method (VRA)
based on canopy detection to reduce the usage of chemicals. VRA of agrochemicals has been promoted to treat orchards on site-specific and tree-specific bases (Khot et al., 2012; Mallarino and Wittry, 2006). VRA favours good environmental stewardship towards moderating the use of chemicals that could have long-term impacts on human health and the environment. Also, VRA could potentially reduce overall production costs for fruit orchards. Variable rate technology needs detection systems such as machine vision, ultrasonic sensors, spectrometry and laser to locate the target.

This paper presents the principles and applications of the main systems in agriculture used for canopy detection and insect identification, including systems that work based on machine vision, ultrasonic sensors, spectrometry and laser.

2 Canopy detection

2.1 Laser scanner

Laser scanner as a new method has been used in recent studies (Keightley and Bawden, 2010; Llorens et al., 2011; Seidel et al., 2011; Mendez et al., 2012; Seidel et al., 2012). Although the application methods of this technology are different, their principles are the same. For example, in a recent study, the laser scanner was used to posit the spraying arms according to the tree canopy (Figure 1). In this work, at a given position along the row, measured points were sent from the laser scanner to the computer and are imported into the main spraying program and further into the positioning algorithm module. At the end the module sends controls to the electro-hydraulic valves in order to move the spraying arms cylinders (Osterman et al., 2013).

In another approach, after obtaining the virtual tree, the program allows the simulation of a terrestrial laser scanner (LSS) and then determines some vegetative parameters from this simulation. Concerning data from the LSS, the program provides a set including the distances from the laser beam origin to nearby plant objects (branches or leaves). The virtual tractor-mounted LiDAR is a surveying method that measures distance to a target by illuminating the target with pulsed laser light and measuring the reflected pulses with a sensor) advances along the row (OY axis), the laser beam is directed toward the interior part of the vegetation (OX axis). Through a secondary angular movement in the XZ plane the scanner measures the distances from the virtual orchard (Figure 2) (Mendez et al., 2013).

Results reported by researches on target-directed spraying, it is expected that the drift and ground deposits of pesticides can be reduced dramatically. In addition, more effective spraying enables changes in the effective dose, which results in smaller amounts of pesticides consumed.

2.2 Multispectral spectrometry

The application of spectroscopy for quality measurement (Cao, 2013; Ariana and Lu, 2008; Chen et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2012; Manley et al., 2009; Mendoza et al., 2011; Peng and Lu, 2006) has provided rapid, non-destructive and accurate control in the field of agriculture, pharmaceuticals (Paudel et al., 2015; Rundlöf et al., 2014) and food industry (ElMasry et al., 2012; Park et al., 2008). Nevertheless, using spectral systems in sprayers as variable rate application is not salient. For the
use of spectrum in this field, there should be a qualitative
difference between the target and the surroundings.

The quality of young and mature leaves is different.
Therefore, spectral sensors can sense the young leaves.
Based on this principle, Larbi et al. (2013) developed a
leaf detection system using multispectral spectrometry.
They supposed that psyllids only feed on young citrus
leaves and designed a leaf detection module to detect
young leaves for selective spraying. In this approach, a
four-band sensor (570, 670, 750, and 870 nm) was
mounted on a metal frame, with the sensor facing
downwards at an adjustable target distance from the floor.
The sensor could be moved with the aid of a variable
speed motor and a sprocket-chain arrangement in two
directions: (A: near-infrared bands leading, and B: visible
bands leading). In an earlier effort, it was found that
sensor angle significantly affects the detection of young
leaves and that perpendicular orientation of the sensor to
the target gives the best results. A piece of flat black
painted cardboard was used as background to absorb light
waves emitted from the sensor and eliminate noise
(Figure 3). The classification algorithm involved
calculating different vegetation indices (using the
sensor’s spectral reflectance data) and implementing
either the Euclidean Distance (ED) or the Matching
Measures (MM) classifier.

Figure 3  Four-band sensor (left) and its schematic profile (right)
(Larbi et al., 2013).

2.3 Ultrasound system

Making use of an ultrasound system as a robust tool
has increased in agricultural studies especially for the
measurement of tree canopy volume (Gil et al., 2007;
Zaman and Salyani, 2004; Tumbo et al., 2002; Escolà,
2010; Balsari and Tamagnone, 1998). Ultrasonic sensors
transmit high-frequency sound waves towards an object
and sense the reflected echo. The distance between the
sensor and the object is then calculated by measuring the
time difference between the transmission and reception of
the waves (Liores et al., 2011). However, due to the
relative wide angle divergence of ultrasonic waves (Wei
and Salyani, 2004), the field of view becomes larger as
the distance between the sensor and the canopy (target)
increases thereby reducing the accuracy of measurements
and increasing the possible interference in the signal
reception of two consecutive sensors (Liores et al.,
2011).

In an approach developed by Liores et al. (2011)
three ultrasonic sensors were placed with an equidistant
spacing of 0.4 m on a stainless steel mast mounted on the
side of an air-blast orchard sprayer. The sprayer was
equipped with six individual and adjustable spouts (three
on each side of the machine). These three sensors were
connected to the central control unit placed on the rear
part of the sprayer on which a computer and a Compact
Field Point were installed. Data processing was done
using a program developed based on LabVIEW software.
(Figure 4).

The aim of this study was to compare the ultrasonic and
LIDAR sensors. Results indicated that an ultrasonic
sensor can be an appropriate tool to determine the
average canopy characteristics, while a LIDAR sensor
provides more accurate and detailed information about
the canopy.

In practice, the ultrasonic sensors should be linked to a
sprayer. In this approach, the contour of the tree canopy is
detected by ultrasound sensors. The ultrasound signal is
processed by a personal computer and fed in real-time to
spraying nozzles which open and close in relation to the
canopy structure (Jejcic et al., 2011). Based on this
principle, Jejcic et al. (2011) presented a sprayer system
fitted with ultrasound sensors that work in real-time.
They used opening and closing of sprayer nozzles based
on the presence or absence of targets sensed by ultrasonic
transceivers for sprayer control in the AM mode
(Automated Spraying Mode). System operation included
the triggering of ultrasonic transceivers, the calculation of
distance using transceivers’ own electronics, processing
and time delaying of data from transceivers, and
switching valves for adjusting pesticide dosage. The
transceivers used for sending and receiving ultrasound signals were the same. The triggering of transceivers was used to prevent unwanted false detections that could arise from the signal being detected on the selected transceiver immediately after another transceiver produced a sound burst. In comparison to spraying in the control spraying mode (CM), the assessment of ultrasound electronic control system in AM mode for proportional spray application showed 20.2% total saving in spray volume per nozzle and area unit (0.30 l min\(^{-1}\) flow rate reduction).

Figure 4  Scheme of electronic connections between all the elements installed in the prototype (Liorens \textit{et al.} 2011).

Much operational accuracy of the ultrasonic sensor is affected by environmental conditions such as exposure to cold weather, outdoor temperatures, crosswinds and temperature change, as well as sprayer design properties such as dust clouds, travel speeds and spray clouds effects. Evaluation of this parameters was done by Jeon \textit{et al.} (2011). According to their reports, after exposure to outdoor cold conditions for four months, the RMS error in the distance measured by the ultrasonic sensor increased from 3.31 to 3.55 cm, which was not statistically significant. Neither the presence of dust cloud nor the changes in crosswind speeds over a range from 1.5 to 7.5 m s\(^{-1}\) had significant effects on the mean RMS errors. Varying sensor travel speed from 0.8 to 3.0 m s\(^{-1}\) had no significant influence on sensor ability to detect distances. Increasing ambient temperature from 16.7°C to 41.6°C reduced the detection distance by 5.0 cm. The physical location of the spray nozzle with respect to the ultrasonic sensor had a significant effect on mean RMS errors. The mean RMS errors of sensor distance measurements were varied from 2.3 to 83 cm (Figure 5).

Figure 5  Experimental setup to test the sensor stability with the spray clouds (Jeon \textit{et al.} 2011).

2.4 Machine vision system

Using machine vision system and image processing technique in the field of agriculture is commonplace. In this method, a vision system captures the target image and then it is processed by a special program. In the program, the target will be extracted from the background. Therefore, the shape and colour properties of the target can be calculated. For example, in a research Bossu \textit{et al.} (2007) used a machine vision system for a real-time
precision sprayer. For this purpose, they made a setup which included a camera, tractor and a sprayer where an electro-pneumatic valve had been placed in front of each nozzle (Figure 6).

The Tecnoma “TS200” sprayer is composed of a six-meter boom with twelve nozzles spaced 50 cm apart. The hydraulic circuit was similar to the conventional sprayer with an output from the main pump fed to a pressure control valve (a constant pressure regulation). In the context of precision agriculture, two sensors have been embedded in the tractor: a vision system placed in the front part of tractor inclined with a 58° tilt-angle, CCD camera, Sony U1000, 1598 ×1199, and a speed sensor fixed on the front wheel. Moreover, the sprayer has been modified (Figure 7). So that, weed patches are clearly identified by a blob-colouring method. Finally, a pinhole model was used to transform the weed patch coordinates image into world coordinates in order to activate the right electro-pneumatic valve of the sprayer at the right moment.

In the field applications distinction the difference between the target and the environment is not clear. Therefore, it is necessary to apply other statistical techniques to extract the target. Support vector machine as a classification method can be a good example to omit the background from the crop picture and clear the target. In this field, Ahmed et al. (2012) had a study about weed controlling. They used a support vector machine (SVM) to classify crops and weeds observed in digital images. The images used in this study were taken from a chilli (Capsicum frutescens L.) field. With, five weed species commonly found in the chilli fields of Bangladesh (Pigweed, Marsh herb, Lamb’s quarters, Cogongrass, Bur cucumber). The images were taken with an OLYMPUS FE4000 point-and-shoot digital camera. The camera is equipped with a 4.65-18.6 mm lens pointed towards the ground vertically while taking the images. Four size independent shape features were selected for this study: form factor, elongation, convex and solidity. The results of this study reveal that SVM achieves an accuracy of above 97% accuracy over a set of 224 test images. Importantly, there is no misclassification of crops as weeds and vice versa.

2.5 Other works
Recently, Duga et al. (2015) investigated spray deposition profiles in some fruit trees to determine the effects of sprayer design, training system and tree canopy characteristics. They presented an in-field analysis of on-target deposition profiles from three distinct sprayer types in four different apple trees of and pear training systems. The results showed that there is a strong relationship between the vertical leaf deposition profile and the outlet air flow pattern from the sprayers. Stronger air resistance (higher air speed) was directly correlated to the higher on-target deposition. It was revealed observed that directing nozzles toward the target is always an advantage irrespective of tree architecture. Tree characteristics such as total leaf cover, leaf wall porosity, and tree volume strongly affected the total on-target deposition, further confirming previous claims that ground surface area alone is an incorrect measure for dose calculation in fruit trees. Also, Da Silva et al. (2006), Dekeyser et al. (2013), Delele et al. (2005), Escol et al. (2013), Gupta et al. (2012), Khot et al. (2012), Sidahmed and Brown (2001), and Walklate et al. (1996) achieved the same results.
3 Pest detection

Using variable rate application in sprayers and estimation of the tree canopy in fruit orchard reduced pesticide usage. Nevertheless, because of the difference situation, applying this method for the greenhouse is not useful. Therefore, it needs another method to control parasites in greenhouse. Among, it seems that application methods of monitoring (Fedor et al., 2009), identification (Larios et al., 2008; Weeks et al., 1999; Wen et al., 2009a) and classification (Wen et al., 2009b) of insects can be more effective in the greenhouse.

However, insect identification is a time-consuming work which requires expert knowledge for integrated pest management (Wen and Guyer, 2012). Nevertheless, automated insect identification can be a useful solution to tackle this problem. Using image processing technique in different methods has been gaining more interest in many research fields (Martin et al., 2008; Bechar et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2010; Solis-Sánchez et al., 2011; Solis-Sánchez, 2009; Boissard et al., 2008; Cho et al., 2007; Syed, 2006).

Colour and shape are two parameters that have major roles in image processing technique. Accordingly, Hassan et al. (2014) used colour-based and shape-based descriptors to automate the classification of insects. They proposed an automatic insect identification framework that can distinguish between grasshoppers and butterflies in coloured images. In this study, two classes of insects are chosen for a proof-of-concept. Classification is achieved by manipulating insects’ colour and their shape features since each class of the sample case have different colour and distinctive body shapes. The proposed insect identification process starts by extracting features from samples and splitting them into two training sets. The first training emphasizes on computing RGB features while the other one is normalized to estimate the area of binary colour that signifies the shape of the insect. Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier is used to train the data obtained. As the final decision, classifier combines the result of these two features to determine which class an unknown instance belongs to. The preliminary results demonstrated the efficacy of our two-step automatic insect identification approach that motivates us to extend this framework to identify a variety of other species of insects.

The parameters such as messy image background, missing insect features, and varied insect pose and size which exist in such amount made it difficult to identify and classify insects, thereby reducing the accuracy of the work. Wen and Guyer (2012) conducted a study on image-based orchard insect automated identification and classification method with the aim of proposing a more robust automated method that can work suitably on field insect images considering the variant situation. Therefore, they obtained eight insect species from integrated pest management pest colonies at Michigan State University (Figure 8).

![Example images](Wen & Guyer 2012)
In this study, three models were used. The first model was built for insect identification and classification using affine invariant local features; the second one called a global feature model built for insect identification and classification using 54 global features; and the last one was a hierarchical combination model that was based on local feature and global feature models to combine the advantages of the two models and increase the performance. For this purpose, the insects after being frozen were placed on a white balance panel under the reflectance light base of a Nikon stereoscopic zoom microscope SMZ1000 (Nikon, Tokyo) with Plan Apochromatic 0.5× objective. A DS-Fi1 colour digital camera (Nikon, Tokyo) was mounted on the microscope. Illumination was provided by a gooseneck light guide powered by a Schott-Fostec Eke Pheostat 150W light source (Schott North America Inc., NY). The images were taken from the insect facing varying directions along with top and side views. The original resolution of 1280 × 960 was rescaled to 160 × 120 pixel size for computational efficiency based on the preliminary study of classification under the differing resolution. The experimental results on field insect image classification achieved the classification rate of 86.6% when testing with the combination model.

Because of some small-sized insects, it won’t be possible to gain accurate results use traditional image processing technique. To tackle this problem Li et al. (2015) decided to use a multiracial analysis. In their research multiracial analysis was adopted for segmentation of whitefly images based on the local singularity and global image characters with the help of regional minima selection strategy. They utilized this strategy to extract features of candidate whitefly image area. The performance was compared to that of the fixed threshold. Afterward, most false alarms from leaf veins were decreased by considering the size and shape of the white-flies. Moreover, a robotic system was designed to carry out the experiments (Figure 9). The experiments were conducted by capturing field images in a greenhouse. Detection results were compared with other adaptive segmentation algorithms. The results showed that the F-values measuring precision and recall scores are higher in the case proposed multiracial analysis (88.6%) compared to conventional methods such as Watershed (60.2%) and Efficient Graph-based Image Segmentation (EGBIS; 42.8%).

According to different varieties of insects and their identification problems, alternative solutions were presented by researchers. Among them, Zayas and Flinn (1998) introduced a machine vision technique that used multivariate analysis to detect insects in crop background images. The extraction of small spots from biological images was first reported by Olivo-Marin (2002). Singh et al. (2009) reported on the use of near-infrared (NIR) hyperspectral imaging systems to detect wheat kernels damaged by insects. Some other related works are done by Gotsch and Brauschweig (1999), Koumpouros et al. (2004), Hanafi (2003), Li et al. (2009), Yao et al. (2013), Clement et al. (2015) and Ridgway et al. (2002).

4 Conclusions

Operation of ultrasonic sensors, spectrometry and Laser depended on canopy density, quality parameters of the crop, and design a complex robotic system, respectively. Due to independent on the tree properties and environment situations, a machine vision system based on an accurate image processing program can be a suitable option for canopy detection. In addition to image processing, using the likelihood of present method is presented for pest identification. However, the reviewed studies had a good influence on decreasing the use of agrochemicals. Nevertheless, the existence of a sprayer that works based on pest detection of great importance. If spraying is done based on the actual presence of pests, it would be practical to reduce the use of agrochemicals. On
the other hand, pest management can be done at the first application before the crop is damaged. Also, automated insect identification system in sprayers can make a significant contribution to producers who own large orchards and have limited pest scouting expertise. Therefore, we suggest to researchers to introduce a new system for real-time controlling of field and greenhouse based on automatic pest identification and variable rate application of agrochemicals.
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